

Energy efficiency programs that save customers energy & money

To be trustworthy stewards of customer money

Programs are like Professional Cyclists

Evaluation is Part of the Support Staff







Evaluation Purpose

What Did We Achieve?

Evaluation objectively and retrospectively documents and measures the effects of a program in order to determine how well it met the intended outcomes.

How Do We Improve?

Evaluations help us understand why those effects occurred and identify ways to improve current and future programs.





Evaluations are not to find and point out mistakes

But, Evaluations aren't Perfect They can be (or seem)...

Too long

Too much work, precision

Irrelevant

Poorly communicated

Not actionable

How Do We Evaluate?



In partnership with our stakeholders

Efficiently

Using best practices

Partnership





Input on needs & strategies



Transparency on plans, protocols, deliverables



Support utility needs: customer communication, enable oversamples



Provide valuable information

Tailored



Balanced

Ç

Strat. Importance

?

uncertainty

aMW

?



Using Best Practices





Evaluation industry best practices



RTF Guidelines, Uniform Methods Project & more



Other national/regional evaluations

QSSI Impact Evaluation Policies

Drivers

Industry Best practice, Power Act, RTF Guidelines, Implementation Manual



Savings reliability with independent verification

Also, improving programs



Impact evaluation covers programmatic, reportable savings, including self-funded 4-year planning cycle, some evaluation annually

Impact Evaluation

Balances objectives of coverage, research needs, timely feedback and cost /effort of the evaluation.

Strives to minimize time between measure completion and impact evaluation

Maximize coordination with COTRs and transparency with stakeholders

Customers required to participate if sampled

Generally follow RTF Guidelines on Impact Evaluation

Exception: 4 year cycle instead of 3 year cycle

Cover 80% of savings in portfolio; strive for 90%.

Across multiple years.

Minimum confidence/precision of 90/10 (portfolio), 80/20 (domain); Strive for 90/5 (portfolio),

90/10 (domain).

Evaluation reports include: evaluated savings, cost-effectiveness and realization rates

Process Evaluation

TBD: Next on QSSI list

Evaluation Spending

% of Total EE Program Budget (2015)



Benefits & Examples

Validation & Credibility

Third party validation of achievements

Credible results for stakeholders & administration

Site-specific evaluation verified the great work by BPA, programs and utilities



Avoiding Criticism



Real, Actionable Data



Influence with information



Continuous Improvement





Preparing for the Future

CPP EM&V Guidance

Evaluation 2.0

Energy efficiency programs that save customers energy & money To be trustworthy stewards of customer money

Evaluation Helps You Go Further & Get There Faster **STAR1**

www.bpa.gov/goto/evaluation

